



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,806		11/28/2001	Atsufumi Shibayama	067238-0115	3188
22428	7590	12/03/2004	•	EXAMINER	
FOLEY SUITE 50		ARDNER		KIM, KEN	NETH S
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2111		
				DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/994,806	SHIBAYAMA ET AL.					
./ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth S KIM	2111					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	ovember 2004.	·					
· <u>-</u>	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>37-48</u> is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	/						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-36 and 49-85</u> is/are rejected.		(1, 4)					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		KENNETH S KIM					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	PRIMARY EXAMINER					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,,					

Art Unit: 2111

- 1. Claims 1-36 and 49-85 remain for examination and claims 37-48 remain withdrawn.
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the current abstract is ambiguous as to what enables the potential dependency detection. (Some indication of the hashed function entry table is desired) Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-36 and 49-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claim 1, it is not clear how the detector detects the dependency presence and pseudo presence.
- (b) Claims 12, 25, 49, 60, and 73, the same as (a).
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-36 and 49-85 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Claims 4-11, 15-22, 28-34, 52-59, 63-70, and 76-83 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,806

Art Unit: 2111

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100

November 24, 2004

/ KENNETH S. KIM PRIMARY (EXAMINER